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## Example (cont.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}(I)=0.03 \\
& \operatorname{Pr}(O \mid I)=0.0003024 \\
& \Rightarrow \operatorname{Pr}(O \cap I)=0.03 \times 0.0003024=9.072 \times 10^{-6}
\end{aligned}
$$

ex) How many possible state sequences are there?
-in general, there are on the order of $N^{T}$ possible state sequences, (for Example 1, that's $4^{7}=16,384$ ).

- Since some of the transition probabilities are zero, this number decreases to only 30 .
$\cdot$ Let each state sequence be denoted by $I_{i}, i=1, \ldots$,
$R=O\left(N^{T}\right)$.


## Total Number of Possible State Sequences: 30
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34
$6=T-1$

## Distributive-Type Property

since $I_{i}, i=1, \ldots R \equiv O\left(N^{T}\right)$ are disjoint events:
$\mathrm{R}=3$


$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{R} O \cap I_{i}\right)=\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \text { (by axiom 2) }}}^{R} \operatorname{Pr}\left(O \cap I_{i}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}(O)
$$

since $R$ is so large, this is not a practical solution to Problem 1

## Solution to Problem 1: Forward-Backward Algorithm

$$
\text { We seek } \operatorname{Pr}(O \mid \lambda)
$$

Forward variable:

$$
\alpha_{t}(i)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(O_{0}, O_{1}, \ldots, O_{t}, i_{t}=Q_{i} \mid \lambda\right)
$$

-this is the probability that we observe the partial observation sequence, $O_{0}, O_{1}, \ldots, O_{t}$ and arrive at state $Q_{i}$ at time $t$ (given the model $\lambda$ ).
-In the forward-backward algorithm the forward variable is updated recursively.
$\cdot$ Note that the events $O_{0}, O_{1}, \ldots, O_{t}, i_{t}=Q_{i}$ are disjoint for each $Q_{i}$.

Forward-Backward Algorithm (cont.)

$$
\alpha_{0}(i)=\pi_{i} b_{i}\left(O_{0}\right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq N-1
$$

- for $t=0,1, \ldots, T-2,0 \leq j \leq N-1$

$$
\alpha_{t+1}(j)=\left[\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \alpha_{t}(i) a_{i j}\right] b_{j}\left(O_{t+1}\right)
$$

- then,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(O \mid \lambda)=\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \alpha_{T-1}(i)
$$

the algorithm can be easily implmented via arithmetic involving the matrices $A, B$, and $\Pi$.

Application of Forward-Backward Algorithm to Example 1

$$
\pi_{0}=1
$$

$$
\pi_{k}=0, \quad k \neq 0
$$



$a_{12}=0.5$|  | State, $Q_{i}$ | $b_{i}(R)$ | $b_{i}(B)$ | $b_{i}(Y)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| 1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |
| 2 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.1 |  |
| 3 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0 |  |

$$
a_{22}=0.4
$$

-observed output sequence: $R, \quad Y, B, B, \quad R, \quad Y, R$
-we don't know the state sequence

Application of Forward-Backward Algorithm to Example 1 (cont.)

$\alpha_{\mathrm{t}}(j)$| $t^{j}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0.03 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 0 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-3$ |
| 3 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $4.8 \mathrm{E}-5$ | 0 | $2.88 \mathrm{E}-4$ |
| 4 | $8.64 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $1.0147 \mathrm{E}-3$ | $2.16 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $2.88 \mathrm{E}-6$ |
| 5 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $2.8934 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $5.15 \mathrm{E}-5$ | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | $5.0588 \mathrm{E}-6$ | $3.1596 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $7.9281 \mathrm{E}-6$ |

## Solution to Problem 2: The Viterbi Algorithm

- We seek the state sequence that maximizes $\operatorname{Pr}(I \mid O, \lambda)$
- This is equivalent to maximizing $\operatorname{Pr}(I \cap O$ ) (given $\lambda$ )
- The trellis diagram representation of HHM's is useful in this regard. We seek the path through the trellis that has the maximum $\operatorname{Pr}(I \cap O)$
- At each column (time step) in the trellis, the Viterbi algorithm eliminates all but $N$ possible state sequences.
- At each time step, the $N$ retained sequences all end in different states.
- If more than one sequence ends in the same state, the sequence with the maximum probability is retained.


## Viterbi Algorithm (cont.)



## Viterbi Algorithm (cont.)



## Viterbi Algorithm (cont.)



## Viterbi Algorithm (cont.)



## Viterbi Algorithm (cont.)

Save each of the $N=4$ maximum probabilities in the vector $\delta_{t}$
Save the state at $\mathrm{t}=0$ in each retained path in the vector $\Psi_{\mathrm{t}}$
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$$
\Psi_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$
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$6=\mathrm{T}-1$
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## Viterbi Algorithm (cont.)



## Viterbi Algorithm (cont.)



## Viterbi Algorithm (cont.)

choose path ending in $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ having highest probability at $\mathrm{t}=2$.
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$5 \quad 6=\mathrm{T}-1$

## Viterbi Algorithm (cont.)

choose path ending in $\mathrm{Q}_{3}$ having highest probability at $\mathrm{t}=2$.


$$
0
$$

- 

$$
\begin{array}{lcc}
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
4 & 5 & 6=\mathrm{T}-1
\end{array}
$$
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## Viterbi Algorithm (cont.)

Save each of the $\mathrm{N}=4$ maximum probabilities in the vector $\delta_{2}$ Save the state at $\mathrm{t}=1$ in each retained path in the vector $\Psi_{1}$


## Viterbi Algorithm (cont.)

continue until $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{T}-1$
final probabilities


## Viterbi Algorithm (cont.)

-maximum final probability defines best path - must backtrack through the $\Psi_{\mathrm{t}}$ to find it final probabilities


